

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/19/2024

From: [William Bradford Huie](#)
To: [William Bradford Huie](#)
CC: [William Bradford Huie](#)
Subject: [William Bradford Huie](#)
Date: [William Bradford Huie](#)

Don and Tim, to be consistent, I forwarded to you both. This one is obviously with PCB 24-42. This guy is helping me out with my suit. Thanks.

From: Paul Fitzhugh <paulfitz@bradhuie.com>
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2024, 12:34 PM

To: William Bradford Huie <bradhuie@bradhuie.com>; Tipard, Marie <Marie.Tipard@illinois.gov>; Halburan, Brad <Brad.Halburan@illinois.gov>
CC: [William Bradford Huie](#)
Subject: [Forwarded] Re: 20240419-13:34: PCB 24-42; violation

I would like to draw special attention to this order of dismissal sent out yesterday. Brad Wright that I hired the opposing attorney. And used abhorrent language. One of us this permit holder discharged pollutants into protected wetlands at two different permitted sites within six months. They threatened to call the police when I began looking at the regulatory documents. Thought they could secretly record me and take pictures of my room's license plate and get me in trouble and I required the sheriff department posting a police car at the site to preserve my right to inspect it when it rained. The fraud at the second site was uncovered in three minutes after I called the engineering drawings with one of the assistant attorney generals for the state of Illinois on the actual chain.

Despite all of that, Brad decided that calling an attorney who makes materially false statements and defense of blatantly illegal activity and fraud is harassment.

Now needless, if you remember from that trial, we both attended. Harassment in a legal sense refers to a "reasonable" person feeling threatened or intimidated. The keyword is a reasonable person.

I would argue, any attorney who is violating their ethics code by making materially false statements to another attorney, who is acting as hearing officer, and also engaging in ongoing unethical behavior related to pollution and fraud, is the epitome of an unreasonable person.

And I watched a movie last night from 1985. Every other word Brad fraud abhorrent was within the first 10 minutes of that movie just like I said happened in the 90s.

[View this email in your inbox](#)

On Friday, April 19, 2024, 12:38 PM, Paul Fitzhugh <paulfitz@bradhuie.com> wrote:

Also,

Given the number of cases handled and dismissed by Brad and the board related to failures by LEPA with NPDES permits is approaching 40. Including cases directly against Illinois EPA. And Brad's continued decisions to dismiss and sanction over everything except pollution and violations. With most if not all actions focusing on people who make the reports.

And the existence of State Laws regarding pollution and fraud.

Brad is going to be reported to the State Police and Illinois Bureau of Investigation today.

When a police department was found violating federal law in building their new station. And an officer from that same department interfered with me enforcing violations protected by the constitution. An usual. Brad refused to order or direct anything towards compliance. He instead took direct orders from the other sides attorney that I the person who reported the crime should be dropped. All before he made any reports to the office of inspector general or even ordered they post regulatory page and provide access to public information.

So lawyers for criminals who should not have a defense because they signed a certification were telling Brad what to put in orders to avoid responsibility and he is saying yes.

This "Yes" is a cancer on the state of Illinois and needs to be removed immediately.

Also, today the cases of unethical environmental will be submitted to the FBI. A case Brad did not report to anyone else despite including serious illicit discharges videotaped, wire fraud, and fraudulent inspection reports, all known to the Illinois EPA. The discharges were directly into a water of the US and never reported. But Brad took care of it.

My grandfather was a Chicago police officer, my aunt was a Chicago police officer, my uncle a chief in the DEA. And my father an environmental engineer for US EPA. My father a certified inspector and behavioral analysis expert. We're all waiting for justice. But, Brad is in the way.



[View this email in your browser](#)

On Thursday, April 18, 2024, 12:48 PM, Paul Peterson <paul.peterson@delaware.gov> wrote:

Here is the letter. It lists Brad as having effort.

[View this email in your browser](#)

The initial filing linked to the previous email explicitly states DEPA is approving plans which are deficient in regards to complying with NPDES Permit Guidelines. And then Brad dismisses them without a report to the proper federal agency.

Here is a snapshot of the complainant asking the DEPA to request assistance if they cannot keep up with the volume of work. Not to change the rules and let permittees do whatever they want.

Brad is dismissing cases while applying the rules to only one side. Conveniently, the side, which protects his job. He is not reporting the fraud, he is conspiring to undermine the primary enforcement mechanism of these permits.

His BUREAUCRAT navigation is requested by me, and everyone else in Illinois who wants their natural resources protected in accordance with federal law.

Next, You are a USGA ecologist specializing in grasslands and from IL. I would assume you don't want Brad letting construction companies destroy your federal research projects? And when people submit pictures where he is actively letting them destroy protected wetlands, you would like someone to step in? Or should he just dismiss all the cases because he works for the state?

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 04/19/2024

In addition to the Respondent, I have filed a Formal Complaint against GW Glenview LLC which is Case #PCB 2021-066 and I have filed no less than nine Informal Complaints against other projects, ongoing and completed, within the Village of Glenview. EVERY one of these projects has a material deficiency in either application or execution.

My desire to file these complaints is an effort to shine a spotlight on the seemingly incomplete effort by all parties to protect our Village, our watersheds, our rivers, lakes, properties (public and private) and citizens. I am NOT seeking to block this project but rather am asking for the proper Stormwater Pollution mitigation efforts under the law.

Finally, if the IEPA is, in fact, ill equipped to handle the current volume of Notices of Intent I would urge the Pollution Control Board to consider asking the United States Environmental Protection Agency to step in and run NPDES Compliance efforts in the State of Illinois as they do for Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Mexico, District of Columbia, U.S. territories, and on federal and tribal lands.

[View this email in your browser](#)
On Thursday, April 18, 2024, 11:59 AM, Paul Fritzsche <pfritzsche@glennvill.org> wrote:

Here is an additional case two years before I started filing the exact same complaints about the exact same thing by the exact same people.

The IEPA implementing an illegal and unauthorized version of the NPDES permit program And refusing to provide public access to regulatory information for citizen enforcement.

This is one of two cases filed by the city and a resident of Glenview, IL for failures of the IEPA to properly implement a federal program.

Regardless of formatting and procedural constraints. As an attorney and government employee trained with the well-being of the residence of Illinois. Brad should have reported the fraud to OIG. Instead, Brad condoned and made decisions to dismiss which by default demonstrated the State of IL condoning and assisting in legal activity.

Just one instance of a permit holder intentionally and knowingly refusing access to the stormwater binder and threatening to call the police because they didn't know the law is enough to warrant a report to OIG. And Brad was provided with dozens of photographs and other text messages all of which are undeniable Evidence of fraud and waste within a federal program implemented by a state agency.

He did not make the necessary reports. He instead conspired with the offenders to continue the racket. Also known as a continuing criminal enterprise. A violation of United States RICO Act. Resulting in my entire casefile being referred to the FBI.

You cannot tell the citizens enforcing that they have to follow every single rule to the T or cases will be dismissed at the same time letters federal permit holders make up their own rules and totally circumvent the primary enforcement mechanism.

Personally, I think Brad should be arrested And charged along with the permit violators as part of the conspiracy.

When bound by a contractor certification which states they agree understand and accept responsibility for their Permit requirements. They may not totally violate those requirements, Before access to regulatory materials supposed to be made available to the public, and then hire an attorney to make false statements on their behalf to sway the board.

They cannot argue whether or not they polluted or violated the permit. They can only argue to which degree given the photographic evidence provided.

Most Of the guidelines were discussing do not have thresholds. It is a general absence. If there is no regulatory signage up and I take a picture, that cannot be argued. That is violating the contractor certification and an attorney making materially false statements.

Concrete washout areas in total violation photographed on a Saturday cannot be argued just a discussion of the degree of pollution.

And if I'm the only one who knows the rules and the Illinois IEPA says IV all OK, You need to report that to someone else.

so we all sit here today, the Illinois pollution board, and the attorney who run it have been aware of fraud within a federal program implemented by a state agency for no less than four years without taking action against anyone other than the citizens filing complaints.

<https://link.illinois.gov/documents/2024/04/18/2024-04-18-01>

[View this email in your browser](#)
On Thursday, April 18, 2024, 9:06 AM, Madhy Datta <Madhy@madhy.org> wrote:

Attached please find correspondence from the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission.

Email is now the preferred method of communication for ARDC matters. Please send any email communications regarding this matter to madhy@madhy.org.

If you have any questions or need to speak with a member of our staff, please call our general number (312) 565-2000.

On behalf of John R. Caserio.

Madhy Datta

Attorney Registration & Disciplinary Commission

One Prudential Plaza

130 East Randolph Drive, Ste. 1500

Chicago, IL 60601

Telephone (312) 565-2000

State of Illinois - CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged or otherwise confidential, may contain trade information or internal deliberative staff communications, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. Reply by an unintended recipient does not waive attorney-client privilege, attorney work product privilege, or any other exemption from disclosure.